



Effects of grazing on net primary productivity, evapotranspiration and water use efficiency in the grasslands of Xinjiang, China

HUANG Xiaotao^{1,2}, LUO Geping^{1*}, YE Feipeng³, HAN Qifei⁴

¹ State Key Laboratory of Desert and Oasis Ecology, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi 830011, China;

² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China;

³ College of Resource and Environment Sciences, Xinjiang University, Urumqi 830046, China;

⁴ Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing 210044, China

Abstract: Grazing is a main human activity in the grasslands of Xinjiang, China. It is vital to identify the effects of grazing on the sustainable utilization of local grasslands. However, the effects of grazing on net primary productivity (NPP), evapotranspiration (ET) and water use efficiency (WUE) in this region remain unclear. Using the spatial Biome-BGC grazing model, we explored the effects of grazing on NPP, ET and WUE across the different regions and grassland types in Xinjiang during 1979–2012. NPP, ET and WUE under the grazed scenario were generally lower than those under the ungrazed scenario, and the differences showed increasing trends over time. The decreases in NPP, ET and WUE varied significantly among the regions and grassland types. NPP decreased as follows: among the regions, Northern Xinjiang (16.60 g C/(m²·a)), Tianshan Mountains (15.94 g C/(m²·a)) and Southern Xinjiang (−3.54 g C/(m²·a)); and among the grassland types, typical grasslands (25.70 g C/(m²·a)), swamp meadows (25.26 g C/(m²·a)), mid-mountain meadows (23.39 g C/(m²·a)), alpine meadows (6.33 g C/(m²·a)), desert grasslands (5.82 g C/(m²·a)) and saline meadows (2.90 g C/(m²·a)). ET decreased as follows: among the regions, Tianshan Mountains (28.95 mm/a), Northern Xinjiang (8.11 mm/a) and Southern Xinjiang (7.57 mm/a); and among the grassland types, mid-mountain meadows (29.30 mm/a), swamp meadows (25.07 mm/a), typical grasslands (24.56 mm/a), alpine meadows (20.69 mm/a), desert grasslands (11.06 mm/a) and saline meadows (3.44 mm/a). WUE decreased as follows: among the regions, Northern Xinjiang (0.053 g C/kg H₂O), Tianshan Mountains (0.034 g C/kg H₂O) and Southern Xinjiang (0.012 g C/kg H₂O); and among the grassland types, typical grasslands (0.0609 g C/kg H₂O), swamp meadows (0.0548 g C/kg H₂O), mid-mountain meadows (0.0501 g C/kg H₂O), desert grasslands (0.0172 g C/kg H₂O), alpine meadows (0.0121 g C/kg H₂O) and saline meadows (0.0067 g C/kg H₂O). In general, the decreases in NPP and WUE were more significant in the regions with relatively high levels of vegetation growth because of the high grazing intensity in these regions. The decreases in ET were significant in mountainous areas due to the terrain and high grazing intensity.

Keywords: grazing effect; grassland type; net primary productivity; evapotranspiration; water use efficiency; Biome-BGC grazing model

Citation: HUANG Xiaotao, LUO Geping, YE Feipeng, HAN Qifei. 2018. Effects of grazing on net primary productivity, evapotranspiration and water use efficiency in the grasslands of Xinjiang, China. *Journal of Arid Land*, 10(4): 588–600. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40333-018-0093-z>

1 Introduction

Grazing is common in grasslands (Zuo et al., 2012; Eldridge et al., 2016) and has significant effects

*Corresponding author: LUO Geping (E-mail: luogp@ms.xjb.ac.cn)

Received 2017-06-23; revised 2017-08-25; accepted 2017-10-14

© Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Science Press and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

on the ecological functions of grassland ecosystems (Dong et al., 2004a; Teague et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016a; Xie et al., 2016). The interactions between grassland ecosystems and herbivores are highly complex, and many factors are involved. Therefore, grazing has been a popular but challenging topic in the field of grassland ecology. However, researches regarding the effects of grazing on net primary productivity (NPP), evapotranspiration (ET) and water use efficiency (WUE) in different types of grasslands are limited at the regional scale, particularly in arid areas.

NPP, ET and WUE are the three key factors that reflect the functions of grassland ecosystems. NPP reflects the production capacity of the grassland ecosystems and is highly sensitive to climate change and human activities (Han et al., 2014). ET is the main form of water loss in arid grasslands, and the balance between precipitation and ET is crucial for determining ecosystem structure, function, and productivity (Eldridge et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016). WUE reflects how efficiently plants use water to produce biomass and is used as an indicator of the suitability of an environment for plant growth (Dong et al., 2004b; Niu et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2016). Furthermore, compared with plants in humid grasslands, plants in arid grasslands exhibit unique ecological processes in response to water stress (Luo et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2016). NPP, ET and WUE are highly correlated with water availability. Therefore, studies on NPP, ET and WUE are particularly important in dry areas.

Grazing can affect plant growth through the removal of a certain amount of vegetation and through the deposition of feces on the soil surface (Olejniczak, 2011; Irisarri et al., 2016). Grazing can also affect ET through the removal of vegetation (Wang et al., 2016b), and WUE may be changed by grazing. Recent studies show that the responses of NPP and ET to grazing differ under different environmental conditions (Luo et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2015; Eldridge et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016b). Therefore, NPP, ET and WUE might show diverse effects across different regions and grassland types. Understanding the effects of grazing on NPP, ET and WUE over large areas is helpful for making appropriate grazing management decisions in accordance with different grassland ecosystems.

Xinjiang is located in an arid region of the Eurasian hinterland that encompasses widely distributed grassland ecosystems, and grazing is the main anthropogenic disturbance in local grasslands. With increasing grazing intensity in recent decades, grassland degradation has become widespread (Han et al., 2014, 2016; Liu et al., 2016). Therefore, the research on the effects of grazing on NPP, ET and WUE is vital for the sustainable utilization of grassland resources in Xinjiang, where distinct differences in elevation, climate, grassland type and grazing management lead to spatial heterogeneity in NPP, ET and WUE. Therefore, this area is conducive to conducting research on the effects of grazing under different environmental and grazing conditions in arid areas.

At present, model simulation is considered the most effective method for studying the effects of grazing over large areas (Laniak et al., 2013; Dugdale et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2016) as different scenarios can be evaluated using model estimations. Therefore, we can effectively evaluate the effects of grazing using model simulations to identify the differences between 'grazed' and 'ungrazed' scenarios and to compare NPP, ET and WUE among studies conducted in the same area. The process-based Biome-BGC grazing model can effectively estimate the effects of grazing on the fluxes and storage of carbon and water in grassland ecosystems (Wang et al., 2005; Luo et al., 2012; Han et al., 2016).

The objective of this study was to determine the effects of grazing on NPP, ET and WUE in the grasslands of Xinjiang during 1979–2012 across different regions and grassland types using the spatial Biome-BGC grazing model.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study area

Xinjiang, covering an area of $1.66 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$, is an important region for the production of livestock. The climate is typically temperate with arid characteristics. The annual mean temperature is 10.4°C

and the annual mean precipitation is 188 mm. The Tianshan Mountains divide Xinjiang into two sub-regions: Northern Xinjiang and Southern Xinjiang (Fig. 1a). The mean annual precipitation in Southern Xinjiang ranges from 20 to 100 mm, and the annual mean temperature ranges from 10.0°C to 13.8°C. In contrast, the mean annual precipitation in Northern Xinjiang ranges from 100 to 500 mm, and the annual mean temperature ranges from 4.0°C to 8.8°C (Gu et al., 2010; Du et al., 2015; Jiapaer et al., 2015). Grasslands account for 34.4% of the territory in Xinjiang and include alpine meadows, mid-mountain meadows, typical grasslands, desert grasslands, saline meadows, and swamp meadows (Huang et al., 2017), with surface areas of 1.09×10^6 , 3.14×10^5 , 3.35×10^5 , 3.63×10^6 , 3.01×10^5 , and 5.4×10^4 km², respectively (Fig. 1b). Most grasslands in Xinjiang are used for grazing, but grazing intensity differs significantly among the different types of grasslands (Figs. 1c and d). With the increase in grazing intensity in recent decades, large areas of grasslands have suffered severe deterioration (Xu et al., 2012; Rong et al., 2014).




Fig. 1 Distributions of elevation (a), grassland type (b), pasture type (c) and average grazing intensity (d) in Xinjiang during 1979–2012. NPP, net primary productivity; ET, evapotranspiration.

2.2 Data

The data in the study included model validation data, grazing data, meteorological data, and other auxiliary data. Grazing data, meteorological data, and other auxiliary data served as the model input data.

2.2.1 Model validation data

Model validation data, including NPP and ET, were collected from both field observations and previous publications by Li et al. (2013) and Han et al. (2014). There was a total of 48 plots with annual NPP data, among which 6 plots were located inside enclosures (i.e., not grazed) and 42 were located outside enclosures and were grazed. Because it was difficult to measure NPP intake by livestock, all the NPP data collected from the grazed plots represented the NPP remaining in the ecosystems after grazing. Daily ET data during 2013–2015 were obtained from field observations in a typical grassland in Urumqi County (43°33'N, 87°12'E; 1648 m a.s.l.) using an HL20 Bowen ratio system at the center of a 100 m×100 m enclosure without grazing. Daily ET data from October 2012 to September 2013 were obtained from field observations in an alpine meadow in Aksu (41°42'N, 80°10'E; 3550 m a.s.l.), using a microlysimeter (Guo et al., 2015) inside an enclosure

without grazing. Daily ET values from 22 July to 2 September, 2010, were collected using a Bowen ratio system outside an enclosure in a desert grassland in Qitai County (44°11'N, 89°26'E; 617 m a.s.l.) and were also obtained from a previous publication by Yan et al. (2015).

2.2.2 Grazing data

Elevation, precipitation and the distance from residential areas were used to distinguish different pasture types. Summer pastures were located in mountainous areas above 2500 m and in plain areas with a mean annual precipitation of 630 mm. Spring-autumn pastures were located in mountainous areas below 2500 m and in plain areas with a mean annual precipitation of 126 mm. Winter pastures were typically close to mountainous permanent settlements and in plain areas with light snowfall and a mean annual precipitation of 210 mm (Banks and Doman, 2001; Kerven et al., 2011; Han et al., 2014). Grazing intensity data at the regional scale, which were generated using interpolation in accordance with sampling points of global livestock numbers and environmental data, were provided by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) (<http://www.fao.org/docrep/010/a1259e/a1259e00.htm>). We corrected the grazing intensity data in accordance with statistics from the Xinjiang yearbook, ensuring a high degree of data precision (Jin, 2012). Grazing data at model validation points were collected through field investigations. The livestock included cattle, sheep, goats, horses, camels, and yaks. All livestock were converted into sheep units in accordance with the standards provided by the Ministry of Agriculture of the People's Republic of China (<http://www.chinaforage.com/standard/zaixuliang.htm>). According to these standards, one goat equals 0.9 sheep, one cattle equals 6.0 sheep, one horse equals 6.0 sheep, one yak equals 4.5 sheep, and one camel equals 8.0 sheep. Figure 2 shows the inter-annual variation of grazing intensity in the Xinjiang grasslands during 1979–2012.




Fig. 2 Interannual variation in grazing intensity in the grasslands of Xinjiang during 1979–2012

2.2.3 Meteorological data

Different meteorological data were required for this study. These include: the daily maximum and minimum air temperatures, daily average air temperature, humidity, incident solar radiation, precipitation and day length. All these regional data were extracted from the China Meteorological Forcing Dataset using C++. The precision of these data was better than that of the existing grid-based meteorological data for this region. With a spatial resolution of 10 km, they can be used for different terrestrial modeling purposes (<http://www.tpdatabase.cn/portal/MetaDataInfo.jsp?MetaDataId=249369>). The meteorological data at the model validation points were collected through field observations.

2.2.4 Other auxiliary data

Elevation data were derived from the WorldClim database (Hijmans et al., 2005) (<http://www.worldclim.org/download>). Soil data, including effective soil depth and sand/silt/clay percentages, were derived from the harmonized world soil database (HWSD; FAO/IIASA/ISRIC/ISS-CAS/JRC, 2012). A map of grassland types in Xinjiang was generated based on a map of vegetation types in the area according to elevation and vegetation characteristics. Elevation and soil data at the model validation points were obtained through field

investigations.

2.3 The Biome-BGC grazing model

The Biome-BGC grazing model, a process-based ecosystem model, can effectively simulate grazing effects on the fluxes and storage of carbon and water in grassland ecosystems over large areas. The grazing process in the model is suitable for arid and semi-arid grasslands (Seligman et al., 1992; Luo et al., 2012; Han et al., 2016).

In the model, NPP is estimated by Equation 1 (Luo et al., 2012).

$$\text{NPP} = C_{\text{veg}} + C_{\text{litter}} + D_r, \quad (1)$$

where C_{veg} is the vegetative carbon ($\text{g C}/(\text{hm}^2 \cdot \text{d})$); C_{litter} is the litter carbon ($\text{g C}/(\text{hm}^2 \cdot \text{d})$); and D_r is the carbon consumed by animals ($\text{g C}/(\text{hm}^2 \cdot \text{d})$) and is calculated by Equation 2 (Luo et al., 2012).

$$D_r = G_e S_r (C_{\text{leaf}} - (C_{\text{leaf}})_U) \quad (0 < D_r < S_r D_x), \quad (2)$$

where G_e is the grazing efficiency of the livestock ($\text{hm}^2/(\text{sheep} \cdot \text{d})$); S_r is the grazing intensity (sheep/hm^2); C_{leaf} is the C in the leaf biomass ($\text{g C}/\text{m}^2$); $(C_{\text{leaf}})_U$ is the residual aboveground C_{leaf} that is unavailable to livestock ($\text{g C}/\text{m}^2$); and D_x is the consumption rate of the livestock based on satiation ($\text{g C}/(\text{sheep} \cdot \text{d})$).

In the model, ET is calculated using the Penman-Monteith equation, as shown in Equation 3 (Running and Coughlan, 1988; Zhao and Zhao, 2014).

$$\text{ET} = \frac{\Delta(R_n - G) + \rho C_p (e_s - e_a)/r_a}{\Delta + \gamma(1 + r_s)/r_a}, \quad (3)$$

where ET is the actual ET (mm/d); Δ is the slope of the curve of vapor pressure versus temperature ($\text{kPa}/^\circ\text{C}$); R_n is the net radiation ($\text{MJ}/(\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{d})$); G is the soil heat flux ($\text{MJ}/(\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{d})$); ρ is the air density (kg/m^3); C_p is the specific heat of air ($\text{MJ}/(\text{kg} \cdot ^\circ\text{C})$); e_s is the saturation vapor pressure (kPa); e_a is the actual vapor pressure (kPa); γ is the psychrometric constant ($\text{kPa}/^\circ\text{C}$); r_s is the bulk surface resistance (s/m); and r_a is the aerodynamic resistance (s/m). In the Penman-Monteith equation, energy is divided into two components. One component is used in the transpiration of water, and the other component is used in evaporation.

In this study, grassland WUE was calculated by Equation 4 (Han et al., 2013).

$$\text{WUE} = \text{NPP}/\text{ET}, \quad (4)$$

where WUE is expressed as $\text{g C}/\text{kg H}_2\text{O}$.

Two scenarios, grazing and ungrazed, were considered to evaluate grazing effects on NPP, ET and WUE for comparison with studies conducted in the Xinjiang grasslands during 1979–2012.

3 Results

3.1 Model validation

In this study, Biome-BGC grazing model was validated with respect to NPP and ET estimates using field data collected through observations and the data from previous publications in Xinjiang grasslands. Figure 3 shows that the model accurately estimated the annual NPP, with the simulated data corresponding closely to field data from previous publications and the observations under the both grazing ($R^2=0.88$, $\text{RMSE}=26.92$) and ungrazed scenarios ($R^2=0.83$, $\text{RMSE}=36.07$). Due to difficulties in measuring NPP intake by livestock, the NPP values associated with grazing, including observations and simulations, represent the NPP remaining in the ecosystems after grazing.

We conducted the ET simulation validation through comparison with observed ET data from a typical grassland in Urumqi County under the ungrazed scenario ($R^2=0.76$, $\text{RMSE}=0.67$), in an alpine meadow in Aksu under the ungrazed scenario ($R^2=0.66$, $\text{RMSE}=0.76$), and in a desert grassland in Qitai County under the grazing scenario ($R^2=0.66$, $\text{RMSE}=0.30$). The results showed that the Biome-BGC grazing model performed well in estimating ET (Figs. 4a–c).




Fig. 3 Relationship between simulated and observed annual NPP in the grasslands of Xinjiang under the grazing scenario (a) and ungrazed scenario (b). NPP values associated with grazing represent the NPP remained in the ecosystems after grazing.




Fig. 4 Comparisons of daily ET based on simulated and observed field data for a typical grassland in Urumqi County under the ungrazed scenario (a), an alpine meadow in Aksu under the ungrazed scenario (b), and a desert grassland in Qitai County under the grazing scenario (c)

3.2 Impacts of livestock grazing on NPP

Figure 5 shows the spatial distribution of the differences in the average annual NPP between the grazing and ungrazed scenarios in the grasslands of Xinjiang during 1979–2012 and the variation in NPP over time. In contrast to NPP under the ungrazed scenario, NPP under the grazing scenario decreased in most regions, indicating widespread grassland degradation during 1979–2012. Significant decreases in NPP were mainly distributed in the Altay Mountains and part of the Tianshan Mountains. Increases in NPP were mainly distributed at middle to low elevations on the northern slope of the Kunlun Mountains and in the western part of Southern Xinjiang (Fig. 5a). In general, the NPP in the grasslands of Xinjiang during 1979–2012 was lower under the grazing scenario than under the ungrazed scenario. In addition, similar inter-annual fluctuations were observed between the grazing and ungrazed scenarios. A fluctuating increase in NPP was observed under the ungrazed scenario, while a fluctuating decline was observed under the grazing scenario (Fig. 5b).




Fig. 5 NPP simulation results under the grazing and ungrazed scenarios in Xinjiang grasslands during 1979–2012: (a) spatial distribution of the average annual NPP difference and (b) interannual variation in NPP. NPP difference = $\text{NPP}_{\text{grazed}} - \text{NPP}_{\text{ungrazed}}$.

In general, the decrease in NPP under the grazing scenario was significant in Northern Xinjiang and the Tianshan Mountains. In contrast, NPP generally increased under the grazing scenario in the Southern Xinjiang (Table 1).

Table 1 Net primary productivity (NPP) statistics for different regions

	NPP _{ungrazed}	NPP _{grazed}	Difference	Percentage
	(g C/(m ² ·a))			(%)
Northern Xinjiang	192.51	175.91	−16.60	8.62
Southern Xinjiang	84.48	88.02	3.54	−4.19
Tianshan Mountains	287.25	271.31	−15.94	5.55

Note: NPP_{ungrazed}, NPP under the ungrazed scenario; NPP_{grazed}, NPP under the grazing scenario.

The decrease in NPP under the grazing scenario declined in the following order: typical grasslands, swamp meadows, mid-mountain meadows, alpine meadows, desert grasslands, and saline meadows. In general, the decreases in NPP were more significant in grasslands with relatively good vegetation growth, such as swamp meadows, mid-mountain meadows, and typical grasslands (Table 2).

Table 2 NPP statistics for different grasslands

	NPP _{ungrazed}	NPP _{grazed}	Difference	Percentage
	(g C/(m ² ·a))			(%)
Alpine meadows	148.32	141.99	−6.33	4.27
Mid-mountain meadows	366.08	342.69	−23.39	6.39
Typical grasslands	323.05	297.35	−25.70	7.96
Desert grasslands	159.99	154.17	−5.82	3.64
Saline meadows	81.93	79.03	−2.90	3.54
Swamp meadows	326.52	301.26	−25.26	7.74

3.3 Impacts of livestock grazing on ET

Figure 6 illustrates the spatial distribution of the differences in average annual ET between the grazing and ungrazed scenarios in the Xinjiang grasslands over the period 1979–2012 and the variation in ET over time. In contrast to ET under the ungrazed scenario, ET under the grazing scenario decreased in most regions of Xinjiang. Significant decreases in ET under the grazing scenario were mainly observed in part of the Tianshan Mountains. Increases in ET were mainly observed in part of Southern Xinjiang and the north part of Northern Xinjiang (Fig. 6a). In general, ET was lower under the grazing scenario than under the ungrazed scenario from 1979–2012. In addition, inter-annual fluctuations were similar between the grazing and ungrazed scenarios. An

obvious fluctuating increase in ET was observed under the ungrazed scenario, whereas no significant fluctuating increase was observed under the grazing scenario (Fig. 6b).




Fig. 6 ET simulation results under the grazing and ungrazed scenarios in Xinjiang grasslands from 1979–2012: (a) spatial distribution of average annual differences in ET and (b) interannual variations in ET. ET difference = $ET_{\text{grazed}} - ET_{\text{ungrazed}}$.

The decrease in ET under the grazing scenario was the most significant in the Tianshan Mountains. Decreases in ET were slightly larger in Northern Xinjiang grasslands than in Southern Xinjiang grasslands. However, due to the lower ET values in the grasslands of Southern Xinjiang, the percentages by which ET decreased were slightly higher in Southern Xinjiang than in Northern Xinjiang (Table 3).

Table 3 ET statistics for different regions

	ET_{ungrazed}	ET_{grazed}	Difference	Percentage
		(mm/a)		(%)
Northern Xinjiang	221.31	213.20	−8.11	3.67
Southern Xinjiang	183.22	175.65	−7.57	4.13
Tianshan Mountains	357.94	328.99	−28.95	8.08

Notes: ET_{ungrazed} , ET under the ungrazed scenario; ET_{grazed} , ET under the grazing scenario.

The decreases in ET declined in the following order: mid-mountain meadows, swamp meadows, typical grasslands, alpine meadows, desert grasslands and saline meadows. The percentages by which ET decreased declined in the following order: alpine meadows, mid-mountain meadows, typical grasslands, swamp meadows, desert grasslands and saline meadows. In general, the decreases in ET were more significant in mountainous grasslands, such as alpine meadows, mid-mountain meadows and typical grasslands (Table 4).

Table 4 ET statistics for different grasslands

	ET_{ungrazed}	ET_{grazed}	Difference	Percentage
		(mm/a)		(%)
Alpine meadows	229.70	209.04	−20.69	9.01
Mid-mountain meadows	387.70	358.37	−29.30	7.56
Typical grasslands	343.90	319.33	−24.56	7.14
Desert grasslands	236.20	225.06	−11.06	4.72
Saline meadows	154.70	158.15	−3.44	2.22
Swamp meadows	358.30	333.26	−25.07	6.70

3.4 Impacts of livestock grazing on WUE

Figure 7 illustrates the spatial distribution of the differences in average annual WUE between the grazing and ungrazed scenarios in the grasslands of Xinjiang over the period 1979–2012 and the variation in WUE over time. In contrast to the WUE under the ungrazed scenario, the WUE under

the grazing scenario decreased in most Xinjiang grasslands. And significant decreases in WUE were mainly distributed in the northwestern Tianshan Mountains and the eastern Altay Mountains. WUE increased mainly in the Altun Mountains, the southwestern Tianshan Mountains, and the northern slope of the middle and low elevations of the Kunlun Mountains (Fig. 7a). The interannual fluctuations in WUE were lower under the grazing scenario than under the ungrazed scenario during 1979–2012. In addition, an obvious fluctuating decline in WUE was observed under the grazing scenario in Xinjiang, whereas under the ungrazed scenario no significant fluctuating decline was observed (Fig. 7b).




Fig. 7 Water use efficiency (WUE) simulation results under the grazing and ungrazed scenarios in Xinjiang grasslands from 1979–2012: (a) spatial distribution of average annual WUE difference and (b) interannual variation in WUE. $\text{WUE difference} = \text{WUE}_{\text{grazed}} - \text{WUE}_{\text{ungrazed}}$.

Under the grazing scenario, the decrease in WUE was most significant in the Northern Xinjiang grasslands and was least significant in the Southern Xinjiang grasslands. Decreases in WUE in the Tianshan Mountain grasslands were also obviously higher than those in the Southern Xinjiang grasslands. However, due to the higher WUE in the Tianshan Mountains, the percentages by which WUE decreased were slightly higher in the Tianshan Mountains than in the Southern Xinjiang grasslands (Table 5).

Table 5 Water use efficiency (WUE) statistics for different regions

	$\text{WUE}_{\text{ungrazed}}$	$\text{WUE}_{\text{grazed}}$	Difference	Percentage
		(g C/kg H ₂ O)		(%)
Northern Xinjiang	0.730	0.677	-0.053	7.26
Southern Xinjiang	0.260	0.248	-0.012	4.62
Tianshan Mountains	0.690	0.656	-0.034	4.88

Note: $\text{WUE}_{\text{ungrazed}}$, WUE under the ungrazed scenario; $\text{WUE}_{\text{grazed}}$, WUE under the grazing scenario.

WUE declined in the following order: typical grasslands, swamp meadows, mid-mountain meadows, desert grasslands, alpine meadows and saline meadows. In general, the decrease in WUE was more significant in grasslands with relatively high levels of vegetation growth, such as swamp meadows, mid-mountain meadows and typical grasslands (Table 6).

Table 6 WUE statistics for different grasslands

	$\text{WUE}_{\text{ungrazed}}$	$\text{WUE}_{\text{grazed}}$	Difference	Percentage
		(g C/kg H ₂ O)		(%)
Alpine meadows	0.3700	0.3579	-0.0121	3.27
Mid-mountain meadows	0.8600	0.8099	-0.0501	5.83
Typical grasslands	0.8400	0.7791	-0.0609	7.25
Desert grasslands	0.3400	0.3228	-0.0172	5.06
Saline meadows	0.5200	0.5133	-0.0067	1.29
Swamp meadows	0.7700	0.7152	-0.0548	7.12

4 Discussion

4.1 Uncertainty analysis

It was confirmed that the grazing process modeling with Biome-BGC grazing is suitable for grasslands in arid and semi-arid areas (Seligman et al., 1992; Luo et al., 2012). This model can effectively estimate the carbon emissions of grasslands in Central Asia (Han et al., 2016). In this study, we conducted model validation with respect to NPP and ET simulation results in the grasslands of Xinjiang to confirm the reliability of the model. However, the grazing process is complex, and some components were not fully considered in the model. As with many other models, uncertainty in the results of the model used here is inevitable. For example, the effects of trampling remain unclear and are not effectively considered in the Biome-BGC grazing model, which inevitably leads to uncertainty in the results. Ecological processes can be influenced in grassland ecosystems due to the soil density change by trampling (Neff et al., 2005; Bell et al., 2011). We hope that the grazing process of the model can be improved in the future to effectively consider trampling effects.

In addition to the uncertainty associated with the model itself, uncertainty in the model results can also arise from the input data. Meteorological data are the major drivers that influence the model results (Bond-Lamberty et al., 2005; Jung et al., 2007). The meteorological data used in this study were extracted from the China Meteorological Forcing Dataset (<http://www.tpdatabase.cn/portal/MetaDataInfo.jsp?MetaDataId=249369>). The precision of these data was higher than that of other existing meteorological grid datasets for this region. Grazing data were the key inputs used to simulate the effects of grazing (<http://www.fao.org/docrep/010/a1259e/a1259e00.htm>) and were provided by FAO in this study. We corrected the data in accordance with statistics from the statistical yearbook, which ensured high data precision (Jin, 2012).

4.2 Effects of livestock grazing on NPP, ET and WUE in grasslands

The results suggest that NPP decreased as a result of livestock grazing in the grasslands of Xinjiang during 1979–2012, indicating that unsustainable grazing, as a result of increased grazing intensity, and widespread grassland degradation has occurred in Xinjiang in recent decades (Mikola et al., 2009; Su et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017). However, the increases in NPP in some regions indicated that moderate grazing may promote grass growth (Paige, 1992; Nilsson et al., 1996; Chen et al., 2007; Rótolo et al., 2007). The effects of grazing on NPP varied among the different regions and grassland types due to differences in the climate and grazing intensity. In general, decreases in NPP were more significant in the grasslands with high levels of vegetation growth, which can mainly be attributed to the high grazing intensity in these grasslands (Fig. 1).

Our study shows that the difference in ET between the grazing and ungrazed scenarios increased due to the increased grazing intensity in recent decades. In plain grasslands, the decrease in ET was mainly caused by decreased transpiration. Grazing can remove parts of leaf blades and decrease transpiration accordingly (Verón et al., 2011; Eldridge et al., 2016). The decrease in ET in mountainous grasslands was more significant than the decrease in ET in plain grasslands. In general, the grazing intensity was higher in mountainous grasslands than in plain grasslands, and a higher grazing intensity may lead to lower transpiration. Grazing led to the destruction of vegetation, which not only decreased transpiration but also generated more runoff (Leitinger et al., 2010; Orr et al., 2016). Therefore, decreases in ET were more significant under the grazing scenario in the mountainous grasslands than in the plain grasslands.

WUE under the grazing scenario was lower than that under the ungrazed scenario. Furthermore, a strong fluctuating decline in WUE was observed under the grazing scenario, whereas no such pattern was observed under the ungrazed scenario. Thus, increased grazing intensity led to a decrease in WUE. Overgrazing can remove excessive amounts of leaves (the main photosynthetic organ of plants) and is not conducive to grass growth (Zhao et al., 2007; Eldridge et al., 2016). In recent decades, overgrazing has been widespread and has caused decreases in WUE in most regions of Xinjiang. In general, decreases in WUE were more significant in the grasslands with high levels of vegetation growth because these regions were generally associated with high grazing intensities.

4.3 Comparisons with previous studies

The effects of grazing on the grasslands of Xinjiang have been studied using a variety of methods. Using observational data, Long et al. (2010) conducted researches on evaporation from grassland soil under different rainfall intensities in Qitai County of Xinjiang. Yan et al. (2013) explored the relationships of ET with multiple environmental factors in an *Achnatherum splendens* grassland in Xinjiang during summer and autumn. Using a Biome-BGC grazing model, Luo et al. (2012) estimated aboveground net primary productivity (ANPP) at four sites in the northern Tianshan Mountains under different grazing intensities. This was the first use of this grazing process model to investigate the effects of grazing in the Xinjiang grasslands. The authors disclosed that ANPP can be promoted under moderate grazing scenario for grasslands under water stress. However, the previous studies which based on sites did not clearly provide an overall understanding of these phenomena over large scale areas, particularly in areas with complex surfaces, such as the grasslands of Xinjiang. Han et al. (2014) conducted a study on carbon emission from grasslands using the spatial Biome-BGC grazing model and presented the first carbon budget estimate at the regional scale for Xinjiang. However, the grazing intensity in that study was obviously lower than the real grazing intensity, leading to bad accuracy in terms of results. In this study, to ensure a high precision of the grazing data, we adjusted the grazing intensity data in accordance with information from the Xinjiang statistical yearbook (Jin, 2012). In addition, the effects of grazing on NPP, ET and WUE in Xinjiang were estimated for different regions and grassland types using the spatial Biome-BGC grazing model to investigate the spatial differences in grazing effects in detail.

5 Conclusions

NPP, ET and WUE of the Xinjiang grasslands under the grazing scenario were generally lower than those under the ungrazed scenario during 1979–2012. In addition, the differences between grazing and ungrazed scenarios increased as a result of increased grazing intensity over time. Grazing turned the NPP from the increased volatility to the decreased volatility in the grasslands of Xinjiang between 1979 and 2012. Compared with the ungrazed scenario, grazing led to a slower increase in ET and a more significant decrease in WUE over the study period.

Strong regional variations occurred in NPP, ET and WUE differences between the grazing and ungrazed scenarios among the regions and grassland types due to variations in climate and grazing management. Widespread overgrazing has contributed to decreases in NPP in most regions in recent decades. Because of the decrease in transpiration caused by grazing, ET decreased in the plains regions. In mountainous grasslands, in addition to a decrease in transpiration, an increase in runoff caused by grazing was an important contributor to the decrease in ET. WUE decreased in most regions in conjunction with the destruction of vegetation caused by overgrazing. This study suggests that grazing had a significant impact on carbon and water cycling in Xinjiang grassland ecosystems during 1979–2012.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported financially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41361140361, 41271126) and the Project of State Key Laboratory of Desert and Oasis Ecology (Y471163). The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Banks T, Doman S. 2001. Kazakh nomads, rangeland policy and the environment in Altay: insights from new range ecology. In: the Second International Convention of Asia Scholars. Berlin: Free University. [2001-08-12]. <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.489.8309&rep=rep1&type=pdf>.
- Bell L W, Kirkegaard J A, Swan A, et al. 2011. Impacts of soil damage by grazing livestock on crop productivity. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 113(1): 19–29.
- Bond-Lamberty B, Gower S T, Ahl D E, et al. 2005. Reimplementation of the biome-BGC model to simulate successional change.

- Tree Physiology, 25(4): 413–424.
- Chen Y X, Lee G, Lee P, et al. 2007. Model analysis of grazing effect on above-ground biomass and above-ground net primary production of a Mongolian grassland ecosystem. *Journal of Hydrology*, 333(1): 155–164.
- Dong S K, Kang M Y, Hu Z Z, et al. 2004a. Performance of cultivated perennial grass mixtures under different grazing intensities in the alpine region of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Grass and Forage Science*, 59(3): 298–306.
- Dong S K, Jiang Y, Wei M J, et al. 2004b. Effects of nitrogen application rate on soil and plant characteristics in pastures of perennial grass mixtures in the alpine region of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, China. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 42(7): 727–735.
- Du J Q, Jiaerheng A, Zhao C X, et al. 2015. Dynamic changes in vegetation NDVI from 1982 to 2012 and its responses to climate change and human activities in Xinjiang, China. *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology*, 26(12): 3567–3578. (in Chinese)
- Dugdale R C, Wilkerson F P, Parker A E. 2016. The effect of clam grazing on phytoplankton spring blooms in the low-salinity zone of the San Francisco Estuary: a modelling approach. *Ecological Modelling*, 340: 1–16.
- Eldridge D J, Poore A G B, Ruiz-Colmenero M, et al. 2016. Ecosystem structure, function, and composition in rangelands are negatively affected by livestock grazing. *Ecological Applications*, 26(4): 1273–1283.
- FAO/IIASA/ISRIC/ISS-CAS/JRC. 2012. Harmonized World Soil Database (version 1.2). FAO and IIASA, Rome, Italy and Laxenburg, Austria.
- Gu A X, Fan Y M, Wu H Q, et al. 2010. Relationship between the number of three main microorganisms and the soil environment of degraded grassland on the north slope of the Tianshan Mountains. *Acta Prataculturae Sinica*, 19(2): 116–123. (in Chinese)
- Guo S H, Yang G J, Li Q F, et al. 2015. Observation and estimation of the evapotranspiration of alpine meadow in the upper reaches of the Aksu River, Xinjiang. *Journal of Glaciology and Geocryology*, 37(1): 241–248. (in Chinese)
- Guo T, Lohmann D, Ratzmann G, et al. 2016. Response of semi-arid savanna vegetation composition towards grazing along a precipitation gradient—The effect of including plant heterogeneity into an ecohydrological savanna model. *Ecological Modelling*, 325: 47–56.
- Han Q F, Luo G P, Li C F, et al. 2013. Modeling grassland net primary productivity and water-use efficiency along an elevational gradient of the Northern Tianshan Mountains. *Journal of Arid Land*, 5(3): 354–365.
- Han Q F, Luo G P, Li C F, et al. 2014. Modeling the grazing effect on dry grassland carbon cycling with Biome-BGC model. *Ecological Complexity*, 17: 149–157.
- Han Q F, Luo G P, Li C F, et al. 2016. Simulated grazing effects on carbon emission in Central Asia. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 216: 203–214.
- Hijmans R J, Cameron S E, Parra J L, et al. 2005. Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*, 25(15): 1965–1978.
- Huang X T, Luo G P, Lv N N. 2017. Spatio-temporal patterns of grassland evapotranspiration and water use efficiency in arid areas. *Ecological Research*, 32(4): 523–535.
- Irisarri J G N, Derner J D, Porensky L M, et al. 2016. Grazing intensity differentially regulates ANPP response to precipitation in North American semiarid grasslands. *Ecological Applications*, 26(5): 1370–1380.
- Jiapaer G, Liang S L, Yi Q X, et al. 2015. Vegetation dynamics and responses to recent climate change in Xinjiang using leaf area index as an indicator. *Ecological Indicators*, 58: 64–76.
- Jin J X. 2012. Xinjiang Statistical Yearbook. Beijing: China Statistics Press, 401–460. (in Chinese)
- Jung M, Vetter M, Herold M, et al. 2007. Uncertainties of modeling gross primary productivity over Europe: a systematic study on the effects of using different drivers and terrestrial biosphere models. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 21(4): GB4021.
- Kerven C, Steimann B, Ashley L, et al. 2011. Pastoralism and Farming in Central Asia's Mountains: A Research Review. Bishkek: University of Central Asia.
- Laniak G F, Olchin G, Goodall J, et al. 2013. Integrated environmental modeling: a vision and roadmap for the future. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 39: 3–23.
- Leitinger G, Tasser E, Newesely C, et al. 2010. Seasonal dynamics of surface runoff in mountain grassland ecosystems differing in land use. *Journal of Hydrology*, 385(1–4): 95–104.
- Li X Y, Wang Y G, Liu L J, et al. 2013. Effect of land use history and pattern on soil carbon storage in arid region of central Asia. *PLoS ONE*, 8(7): e68372.
- Liu H, Zang R G, Chen H Y H. 2016. Effects of grazing on photosynthetic features and soil respiration of rangelands in the Tianshan Mountains of Northwest China. *Scientific Reports*, 6: 30087.
- Liu L X, Zhao X Y, Chang X L, et al. 2016. Impact of precipitation fluctuation on desert-grassland ANPP. *Sustainability*, 8(12): 1245.
- Liu W B, Wang L, Zhou J, et al. 2016. A worldwide evaluation of basin-scale evapotranspiration estimates against the water balance method. *Journal of Hydrology*, 538: 82–95.
- Long T, Xiong H G, Zhang J B, et al. 2010. Experimental study on grassland soil evaporation with different rainfall intensity. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 24(6): 240–245. (in Chinese)

- Luo G P, Han Q F, Zhou D C, et al. 2012. Moderate grazing can promote aboveground primary production of grassland under water stress. *Ecological Complexity*, 11: 126–136.
- Mikola J, Setälä H, Virkajärvi P, et al. 2009. Defoliation and patchy nutrient return drive grazing effects on plant and soil properties in a dairy cow pasture. *Ecological Monographs*, 79(2): 221–244.
- Neff J C, Reynolds R L, Belnap J, et al. 2005. Multi-decadal impacts of grazing on soil physical and biogeochemical properties in southeast Utah. *Ecological Applications*, 15(1): 87–95.
- Nilsson P, Tuomi J, Astrom M. 1996. Even repeated grazing may select for overcompensation. *Ecology*, 77(6): 1942–1946.
- Niu S L, Xing X R, Zhang Z, et al. 2011. Water-use efficiency in response to climate change: from leaf to ecosystem in a temperate steppe. *Global Change Biology*, 17(2): 1073–1082.
- Olejniczak P. 2011. Overcompensation in response to simulated herbivory in the perennial herb *Sedum maximum*. *Plant Ecology*, 212(11): 1927–1935.
- Orr R J, Murray P J, Eyles C J, et al. 2016. The North Wyke Farm Platform: effect of temperate grassland farming systems on soil moisture contents, runoff and associated water quality dynamics. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 67(4): 374–385.
- Paige K N. 1992. Overcompensation in response to mammalian herbivory: from mutualistic to antagonistic interactions. *Ecology*, 73(6): 2076–2085.
- Rong Y P, Yuan F, Ma L. 2014. Effectiveness of exclosures for restoring soils and vegetation degraded by overgrazing in the Junggar Basin, China. *Grassland Science*, 60(2): 118–124.
- Rótoló G C, Rydberg T, Lieblein G, et al. 2007. Emergy evaluation of grazing cattle in Argentina's Pampas. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 119(3–4): 383–395.
- Running S W, Coughlan J C. 1988. A general model of forest ecosystem processes for regional applications I. Hydrologic balance, canopy gas exchange and primary production processes. *Ecological Modelling*, 42(2): 125–154.
- Seligman N G, Cavagnaro J B, Horno M E. 1992. Simulation of defoliation effects on primary production of a warm-season, semiarid perennial-species grassland. *Ecological Modelling*, 60(1): 45–61.
- Su R N, Cheng J H, Chen D M, et al. 2017. Effects of grazing on spatiotemporal variations in community structure and ecosystem function on the grasslands of Inner Mongolia, China. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1): 40.
- Teague W R, Dowhower S L, Baker S A, et al. 2011. Grazing management impacts on vegetation, soil biota and soil chemical, physical and hydrological properties in tall grass prairie. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 141(3–4): 310–322.
- Verón S R, Paruelo J M, Oesterheld M. 2011. Grazing-induced losses of biodiversity affect the transpiration of an arid ecosystem. *Oecologia*, 165(2): 501–510.
- Wang K B, Deng L, Ren Z P, et al. 2016a. Grazing exclusion significantly improves grassland ecosystem C and N pools in a desert steppe of Northwest China. *Catena*, 137: 441–448.
- Wang L, Liu H Z, Bernhofer C. 2016b. Grazing intensity effects on the partitioning of evapotranspiration in the semiarid typical steppe ecosystems in Inner Mongolia. *International Journal of Climatology*, 36(12): 4130–4140.
- Wang Q X, Watanabe M, Ouyang Z. 2005. Simulation of water and carbon fluxes using BIOME-BGC model over crops in China. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 131(3–4): 209–224.
- Wang Z, Deng X Z, Song W, et al. 2017. What is the main cause of grassland degradation? A case study of grassland ecosystem service in the middle-south Inner Mongolia. *Catena*, 150: 100–107.
- Xie L N, Chen W Z, Gabler C A, et al. 2016. Effects of grazing intensity on seed production of *Caragana stenophylla* along a climatic aridity gradient in the Inner Mongolia Steppe, China. *Journal of Arid Land*, 8(6): 890–898.
- Xu B, Yang X C, Jin Y X, et al. 2012. Monitoring and evaluation of grassland-livestock balance in pastoral and semi-pastoral counties of China. *Geographical Research*, 31(11): 1998–2006. (in Chinese)
- Yan R H, Xiong H G, Feng Z H, et al. 2013. Relationship between evapotranspiration and multi-environmental factors of *Achnatherum splendens* grassland's SPAC system in oasis-desert Ecotone. *Arid Land Geography*, 36(5): 889–896. (in Chinese)
- Yan R H, Xiong H G, Chen X F. 2015. Characteristics of land surface energy over: *Achnatherum splendens* grassland in the oasis-desert ecotone of Northern Piedmont of Tianshan Mountains. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 35(5): 1350–1358. (in Chinese)
- Zhang J H, Huang Y M, Chen H Y, et al. 2016. Effects of grassland management on the community structure, aboveground biomass and stability of a temperate steppe in Inner Mongolia, China. *Journal of Arid Land*, 8(3): 422–433.
- Zhang Y, Gao Q Z, Dong S K, et al. 2015. Effects of grazing and climate warming on plant diversity, productivity and living state in the alpine rangelands and cultivated grasslands of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *The Rangeland Journal*, 37(1): 57–65.
- Zhao L W, Zhao W Z. 2014. Evapotranspiration of an oasis-desert transition zone in the middle stream of Heihe River, Northwest China. *Journal of Arid Land*, 6(5): 529–539.
- Zhao W Y, Li J L, Qi J G. 2007. Changes in vegetation diversity and structure in response to heavy grazing pressure in the Northern Tianshan Mountains, China. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 68(3): 465–479.
- Zuo X A, Wang S K, Zhao X Y, et al. 2012. Effect of spatial scale and topography on spatial heterogeneity of soil seed banks under grazing disturbance in a sandy grassland of Horqin Sand Land, Northern China. *Journal of Arid Land*, 4(2): 151–160.